International Journal of Islamic Studies & Culture *ISSN-2709-3905*
http://ijisc.com.pk/index.php/IJISC/issue/view/192 *PISSN2709-3891*
(Volume.4, Issue.2 (2024)
(April-June)

The Impact of Al on Society: A Multifaceted Ethical Examination

Muhammad Nouman Noor
Department of Computer Science, HITECT University Taxila at-nouman.noor@hitecuni.edu.pk
Muhammad Khalid
Department of Computer Science, HITECT University Taxila
Yousra Zafar
Department of Software Engineering, HITECT University Taxila
Hira Khalid
Department of Software Engineering, HITECT University Taxila

Abstract
Artificial intelligence (AI) today is evolving at a dizzying pace, and it is itself a significant societal phenomenon which has tremendous positive impacts and potential for raised ethical concerns. This paper aims to give a detailed analysis of the various aspects of ethics related to the incorporation of AI into various core fields like healthcare, transportation systems, and the criminal justice system. Some of the points of concern is the so called 'bias and fairness of algorithms, data privacy, as well as the social and economic effects of AI based automation systems. From these issues, the paper establishes the importance of proper ethical standards and adequate laws as the world moves towards the integration of AI systems. Further, the paper also talks about the new ethical standards that have been developed for the purpose of AI which includes but not limited to is Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency (FAT). Particularly, it reveals the need for multi-sectoral cooperation and the involvement of stakeholders in the creation of policies that would help AI benefactors create a positive and inclusive change in the world. Finally, the authors propose reasonable solutions that enable innovation and simultaneously protect people from unethical use of AI stressing that the further development should be based on the principles of humanism.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Society, Humanism, Ethics, Transparency

Introduction

Artificial intelligence can be spatialized as the progress that is evident in the modern society and has found its application in several fields. In this paper, AI is defined in the context of Russell and Norvig (2016) and Gardner and Richards (1997) as the capacity of machines to acquire, process, store, communicate, learn, problem-solve, and decide. Such a technological giant has created tremendous innovation in so many fields such as medicine, finance, transport, movies among others. However, AI introduced to society provides both – incredible possibilities and steep ethical concerns that cannot be disregarded. AI has grown exponentially and prominently over time owing to a massive growth of machine learning, neural networks, and computational power.

According to Brynjolfsson and McAfee (2017), the use of big data and sophisticated algorithm makes AI perform tasks earlier considered impossible by the technology. For instance, today, AI is used in precision medicine to forecast illnesses and ideal treatments and in

transportation where they self-driving cars operate in complex systems. But this raises several ethical and social issues, which are discussed in the following section. As artificial intelligence adapts and penetrates users' life, issues regarding job loss, privacy infringement, and inequality arise. The use of AI in areas such as healthcare and finance means innovation but additionally challenges on the themes of data protection, proper usage of 'Big Data' and ethical approach of an algorithm.

These are some of the reasons that require strict polices and rules that govern development of AI systems to fit the society's ethical standards. Moreover, the advancement of artificial intelligence is expressed in such a fast pace, and this in turn influences the global socio-economic realities, challenging in a way the labor markets as well as creating issues of technology divide as well as global competitiveness. Solving these problems is possible only with the help of positive changes with ethical points, the goal is to develop AI in a way that would benefit society and not harm it. Thus, the ethical implication of AI not only involves questions of know-how but also subjects concerning responsibility, openness, and equity. That is why Floridi and Cowls (2019) state, AI systems' decisions that increasingly pervade societies may significantly affect people's lives, thereby raising privacy and fairness concerns. Also, the use of AI in sensitive areas including criminal justice and employment raises the issue of justice within societies.

Hence it becomes essential to conduct an analysis of these implications to avoid any". Hence an analysis of these effects is necessary to avoid skewed development of AI that deviates from the traditions and cultures of other societies. This paper has the aim to focus on the ethical issues that are related to the effects of AI on the society. This research aims to focus on the identification of ethical trends within the field of AI implementation in different industries, so that it will help to provide evidence of the interaction and interdependence between technological advancements and the protection of people's rights.

Ethical Frameworks in AI

Ethical theories offer basic rules that may be used to determine the ethical answers and challenges; they are slowly but persistently being utilized in Artificial Intelligence (AI). This brings us again to Mill (1863) where the principle of utilitarianism is anchored in the achievement of the greatest happiness for the largest number of people and is beneficial in directing AI creators towards the provision of a system that gave the most advantages. Deontology mainly comes from Kantian ethic which puts duty and moral rules as primary importance (Kant, 1785), implying that the AI systems

should be programmed to stick to ethical principles regardless of the consequence. Rooted in Aristotle's ethics, virtue ethics merely focuses on the character and virtues (Aristotle, 350 BC) with the notion that intelligent systems must possess the virtues that humans seek, which are virtuous characteristics that enhance the quality of life. The above-discussed traditional ethical theories provide various approaches to evaluate the ethical status of AI technologies but at the same time pose some problems when directly applied to this field.

When addressing the topic of applying the traditionalist ethical theories to AI technologies, one faces several questions because of the peculiarity of AI systems. First of all, it must be noted that reasoning under utilitarianism involves evaluation of consequences, and it is nearly impossible with AI that possesses unpredictable effects (Bostrom, 2014). This approach focuses on the objective of adhering strictly to the rules but enforcing rules in an AI setting is bound to prove disastrous most especially given that an AI is fashioned to work in a constantly evolving environment fraught with uncertainties (Moor, 2006). Virtue ethics center on human character and hence arises the issues on how virtues may be attributed to non- entities such as the AI. Moreover, the ability to make decisions independently raises the problem of determining moral responsibility for the actions of an AI; at one point, it remains the machine, and at another, it is attributed to developers or users. These issues point out to conflict which traditional ethical theories do not effectively capture or address when it comes to the moral problems of AI.

Thus, to these challenges new ethical frameworks specific for AI are beginning to be developed, based on principles such as fairness, accountability and traceability (FAT). Bias in AI is an important concept in realizing fairness in the AI systems; this means constant review and tweaking of those algorithms (Dastin, 2018). Accountability means some actions and decisions should be explainable and have orientation when AI makes decisions and actions (Rahwan et al., 2019). Transparency is one of the approaches through which AI makes its decisions visible to the stakeholders, as well as improve ethical check through enhanced insight (Burrell, 2016). These new frameworks should complement the existing standards in order to specifically address the ethical issues that accrue from the use of AI technologies in decision making processes enabling the growth of AI engineered systems that are not only efficient but also ethical. Thus, by incorporating the FAT principles, the AI community tends to work towards enhancing the accountability of technological advancements.

Ethical Issues in AI Development and Implications

Equality and bias are two main ethical dilemmas in artificial intelligence because the same algorithms may reinforce or increase bias. AI mechanisms require massive data sets which, being collected and compiled in past, reproduce established social injustices and produce biased decisions when used in decision-making (Barocas, Hardt, & Narayanan, 2019). For example, the facial recognition technologies are known to produce higher error rates if the targets are persons of color with dark skin (Buolamwini & Gebru, 2018). To overcome such biases, permanent vigilance and the proactive application of fairness-aware models for people's fair treatment are needed. There are also issues of privacy in the development of AI, because the applications of AI require huge datasets for their use, storage and analysis. Thus, data mining and surveillance resemble the violation of patient's rights regime, including issues of consent and data control (Zuboff, 2019). It is vital to assure the clients, consumers, users or the public in general that their data privacy is well protected and that practices involving the data are clear.

Moreover, the aspects of employment and socio-economic inequities are most touched by AI. Solutions that replace human work create the problem of widespread unemployment, which directly impacts existing social issues (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2014). When developing ethical AI, there is a need to take into account the economic consequences; the effects on the workforce needs to be reduced. AI brings new ethical implications to the health care industry, particularly in diagnosis, treatment, and patient management. Blessing: AI systems can help increase the diagnostic and treatment precision but at the same time have drawbacks regarding errors, responsibilities, and depersonalization of care (Jiang et al., 2017). The necessity to properly address the AI's purpose to augment and not replace human decision-making is the key to preserving the ethical environment in healthcare. Another environment that holds a lot of ethical issues within it is the automotive industry and especially the self-driving cars.

The programming of these vehicles requires ethic decision making; for instance, the choice between protecting the vehicle's passengers, or pedestrians whenever an accident is inevitable (Lin, 2016). There are also issue, legal and moral, on who will be held responsible in the event that there are malfunctions or accidents. Like, in criminal justice, uses of artificial intelligence in fields like predicting crime waves or that of determining criminal's sentence, is a method that raises ethical issues with justice and fairness. These systems can perpetuate biased outcomes in the criminal justice system as they exacerbate the effects on socially vulnerable people (Angwin et al.,

2016). Closely monitoring and regulating the AI applications for social good is crucial in order to maintain and protect the integrity, impartiality, and public's trust.

Regulatory and Policy Challenges

AI is regulated differently all over the world and this indicates that there are many ways of handling the clinical, legal, social, and policy issues of AI. The European Union has been leading in this with its AI Act which seeks to establish legal requirements that shall govern use of artificial intelligence to ensure that these introduced systems are safe and respect human rights (European Commission, 2021). This includes classifying AI systems by risk and then applying the following conditions to high-risk applications. In the United States, it is more decentralized, and organizations such as the Federal Trade Commission regulate a certain facet regarding Artificial Intelligence for instance, consumer protection and privacy (FTC, 2020). The Chinese model, on the contrary, is closely tied to the state's vision of AI's incorporation into the general concept of technological progress and economic growth; top-bottom integration of AI with the overall state and security agenda (Zeng, 2018). However, the world seems to agree that there is a need to have well-structured and extensive regulation on AI to contain the rate through which AI is being developed and its impacts on ethical practices.

Nonetheless, there are still many loopholes as to regulation and enforcement of the rightful use of assembling AI and ethically sound application. Another factor is relativism in specifying ethical AI, that is, the absence of unambiguous definitions and criteria: ethical principles are applied in different countries irregularly, conditionally (Jobin, Ienca, & Vayena, 2019). Moreover, a significant number of current regulations are based on the conflict-solution model; that is, they do not consider possible ethical conflicts in advance. This is evident especially in such regions that involve matters like data privacy and protection, which are usually regulated bearing in mind the capabilities of AI systems, but which at the same time get outdone by the capabilities. Besides, innovative enforcement mechanisms are often defined by inadequate resources and power to compel people and organisations act in ethical ways. This weak enforcement only amplifies other problems, for instance, absence of algorithmic fairness, explainability, and responsibility, all of which erode the community's confidence in AI solutions. Thus, a stronger framework of preventive, unambiguous, and enforceable policies is sorely lacking to guarantee the AI's compliance with ethical principles and social standards.

In order to overcome aforementioned regulatory and policy issues, the following fundamental recommendations should be taken into consideration by the policymakers. First, they should focus on creating international codes of ethics as well as collaboration and harmonization of the ethical patterns of AI all over the world (Floridi et al., 2018). Potentially this could be done through creating global standards through such organisations as United Nations or the OECD to assure that ethical concepts are applied around the globe. Second, there is the need to increase the activity of regulatory authorities, more actively contributing to such industry initiatives as creating codes of ethics and searching for ad hoc ethical issues to solve. This could include developing sound measures to conduct the impact assessments coherently and also the constant supervision of the AI systems. Third, improve the openness of action and accountability is necessary; hence, it should require explanatory procedures and records regarding the AI decision-making and establish an independent procedure for audits and reviews (Whittaker et al., 2018). Last but not the least, encouraging interdisciplinary cooperation between technologists, ethicists, lawyers, and other parties will also ensure that policies developed with reference to AI ethics address the ethical issues in their entirety. With these taken into consideration, it will be possible for the policymakers to encourage a responsible development of AI solutions that should conform to the morality standards as well as help enhance the general welfare of the people.

The role and beneficial effects that AI technologies will have in the society of the future are yet to be experienced but in different facets. AI is likely to impact the industries including healthcare, education, finance, and transportation by improving their productivity, precision, and customization (Manyika et al., 2017). Potential applications of Ai can enhance health care sector by helping in disease diagnosis, achieving higher accuracy in medication prescription and even in health sectors through use of robot surges which can boost patients' results (Jiang et al., 2017). In education, intelligent adaptive learning solutions could offer unique learning environments, which would be able to meet individual student's requirements, thereby possibly diminishing education inequality (Luckin et al., 2016). Self-driving cars and intelligent city systems would make city dwelling enjoyable by minimizing the problem of traffic jams and promulgating power use efficiency (Goodall, 2014). However, together with these advantages that AI offers, the latter's penetration into various spheres of life poses threats, including job loss, violation of privacy, and reinforcement of existing discriminations. These problematics will have to be solved with active

policies and frameworks that will guarantee that AI's development will be inclusive and positive for everybody.

Ethical Considerations in the Development of Advanced AI Systems

When it comes to the higher forms of AI that can be supplemented from AI assisted to AGI and superintelligence, specific ethical issues come to the foreground. Here, AGI is used with the meaning of AI systems that contains a capability to acquire knowledge and use it in a wide variety of tasks and at the level of humans (Bostrom, 2014). The direction of AGI might lead to superintelligence which is a new form of intelligence that enhances human intelligence and this has possible problematic ethical and existential consequences. That is why one of the major issues is the problem of alignment, or how advanced AI systems' goals and behaviors can safely be made to correspond to what humans want (Russell, 2019). Due to the objectives being misaligned, there is a high possibility of creating or promoting undesirable side effects, negative actions, or adverse consequences that require extensive safety and ethical considerations. Furthermore, there is potential risk of power and control over the development of higher-level AI systems and their proximity to human beings and society, which thus requires development of the proper frameworks for increasing the level of openness, responsibility and the distribution of innovative solutions (Floridi et al., 2018). Achieving both the necessary ethical development of AGI and superintelligence innovations, as well as adequate safeguards for their risks, also involves the multidisciplinary approach, including the stakeholder engagement as well as the development of the most effective regulatory mechanisms.

Thus, certain measures should be put in practice in order to promote ethical approach to the use of AI: First, setting up the specific ethical principles and rules, like those indicated by the IEEE and the European Commission, can give general norms for the correct use of AI. Such guidelines should include values like; fairness, accountability, transparency, and respect to individual's privacy. Second, it is understood that the approaches to ethical AI system design require combining the insights from ethics, social sciences, and the IT field in general, as the ethical issues are diverse and often interconnected (Whittlestone et al., 2019). Thirdly, it increases the public and stakeholders' participation in the processes of AI development and deployment, leading to increased objectivity. A recent approach is the engagement of the public, Population and especially the development of an integrated platform where AI developers and policymakers interact with the public and other stakeholders. Fourth, sustaining strong vigilance and control

systems remain important to guarantee that the organisations meet or abide by the set ethical standards, and to handle all the negative consequences that may occur. This entails identifying provisions for independent review boards, periodical review and impact analysis of the AI projects. Last of all, promoting AI ethics teaching and learning in developers, policymakers, users and promoting awareness of the principles of AI ethics can go a long way in developing the right culture. Therefore, by using the above strategies, the AI community can enhance innovation while ensuring that the core values of ethics are achieved in the society.

Conclusion

Thus, the analysis of the diverse and complex ethical aspects in relation to AI identifies considerable concerns in several areas. From the question of bias in algorithms and fairness up to privacy concerns in data handling, substantial ethical questions about the interaction of existing and future societies with AI are emerging. The effect of AI to employment and social and economic inequities add to the rationale for mindful contemplation and action. These are rather promising areas where AI technologies are being developed and applied, and these ethical considerations will feature crucially when it comes to the further development of these innovations as well as when it comes to their implementation and regulation because these innovations are beneficiary for society only if properly used as well as if they are developed, implemented and regulated compliantly. It should be understood that the principles of ethical actions play a crucial role in the development of AI technologies. Thus specifying AI system values to be fair, accountable, and transparent we can build new innovative AI systems that respect people's rights. There is a need to establish a balance between the creation of AI and its appropriate use because any technology could be dangerous if not appropriately utilized. As we proceed, it becomes essential to have proper guidelines and measures that would set the standards of appropriate use of AI, while also enhancing the general evolvement of technology as it strengthens as opposed to straining the society's moral foundations.

References

Angwin, J., Larson, J., Mattu, S., & Kirchner, L. (2016). Machine bias. *ProPublica*. Retrieved from https://www.propublica.org/article/machine-bias-risk-assessments-in-criminal-sentencing

Aristotle. (350 B.C.E.). *Nicomachean Ethics*.

Barocas, S., Hardt, M., & Narayanan, A. (2019). *Fairness and Machine Learning*. fairmlbook.org.

Bostrom, N. (2014). *Superintelligence: Paths, Dangers, Strategies*. Oxford University Press.

Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). *The Second Machine Age: Work, Progress, and Prosperity in a Time of Brilliant Technologies*. W. W. Norton & Company.

Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2017). *The Second Machine Age: Work, Progress, and Prosperity in a Time of Brilliant Technologies*. W. W. Norton & Company.

Buolamwini, J., & Gebru, T. (2018). Gender shades: Intersectional accuracy disparities in commercial gender classification. *Proceedings of the 1st Conference on Fairness, Accountability and Transparency*, 77-91.

Burrell, J. (2016). How the machine 'thinks': Understanding opacity in machine learning algorithms. *Big Data & Society*, *3*(1).

Dastin, J. (2018). Amazon scrapped 'sexist AI' recruiting tool. *Reuters*. Retrieved from https://www.reuters.com/article/us-amazon-com-jobs-automation-insight-idUSKCN1MK08G.

European Commission. (2021). Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council Laying Down Harmonized Rules on Artificial Intelligence (Artificial Intelligence Act) and Amending Certain Union Legislative Acts. Retrieved from https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A52021PC0206

Floridi, L. (2016). *The Fourth Revolution: How the Infosphere is Reshaping Human Reality*. Oxford University Press.

Floridi, L., & Cowls, J. (2019). A unified framework of five principles for AI in society. *Harvard Data Science Review*, *1*(1).

Floridi, L., Cowls, J., Beltrametti, M., Chatila, R., Chazerand, P., Dignum, V., ... & Vayena, E. (2018). AI4People—An ethical framework for a good AI society: Opportunities, risks, principles, and recommendations. *Minds and Machines*, *28*(4), 689-707.

Floridi, L., Cowls, J., Beltrametti, M., Chatila, R., Chazerand, P., Dignum, V., ... & Vayena, E. (2018). AI4People—An ethical framework for a good AI society: Opportunities, risks, principles, and recommendations. *Minds and Machines*, *28*(4), 689-707.

FTC. (2020). *Using Artificial Intelligence and Algorithms*. Federal Trade Commission. Retrieved from https://www.ftc.gov/news-events/blogs/business-blog/2020/04/using-artificial-intelligence-algorithms

Goodall, N. J. (2014). Machine ethics and automated vehicles. In *Road Vehicle Automation* (pp. 93-102). Springer, Cham.

IEEE. (2019). *Ethically Aligned Design: A Vision for Prioritizing Human Well-being with Autonomous and Intelligent Systems*, First Edition. IEEE.

Jiang, F., Jiang, Y., Zhi, H., Dong, Y., Li, H., Ma, S., ... & Wang, Y. (2017). Artificial intelligence in healthcare: past, present and future. *Stroke and Vascular Neurology*, *2*(4), 230-243.

Jobin, A., Ienca, M., & Vayena, E. (2019). The global landscape of AI ethics guidelines. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, *1*(9), 389-399.

Kant, I. (1785). *Groundwork of the Metaphysics of Morals*.

Lin, P. (2016). Why ethics matters for autonomous cars. In *Autonomes Fahren* (pp. 69-85). Springer Vieweg, Berlin, Heidelberg.

Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). Intelligence unleashed: An argument for AI in education. *Pearson Education*.

Manyika, J., Chui, M., Miremadi, M., Bughin, J., George, K., Willmott, P., & Dewhurst, M. (2017). A future that works: Automation, employment, and productivity. *McKinsey Global Institute*.

Mill, J. S. (1863). *Utilitarianism*.

Moor, J. H. (2006). The nature, importance, and difficulty of machine ethics. *IEEE Intelligent Systems*, *21*(4), 18-21.

Pasquale, F. (2015). *The Black Box Society: The Secret Algorithms That Control Money and Information*. Harvard University Press.

Rahwan, I., Cebrian, M., Obradovich, N., Bongard, J., Bonnefon, J. F., Breazeal, C., ... & Wellman, M. (2019). Machine behaviour. *Nature*, *568*(7753), 477-486.

Russell, S. (2019). *Human Compatible: Artificial Intelligence and the Problem of Control*. Viking.

Russell, S. J., & Norvig, P. (2016). *Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach* (3rd ed.). Pearson Education.

Whittaker, M., Crawford, K., Dobbe, R., Fried, G., Kaziunas, E., Mathur, V., ... & Schwartz, O. (2018). AI now report 2018. *AI Now Institute at New York University*.

Whittlestone, J., Nyrup, R., Alexandrova, A., Dihal, K., & Cave, S. (2019). Ethical and societal implications of algorithms, data, and artificial intelligence: a roadmap for research. *Nuffield Foundation*.

Zeng, J. (2018). China's AI dream: AI development in China and its impact on China's technological trajectory. *China Perspectives*, *2018*(4), 9-18.

Zuboff, S. (2019). *The Age of Surveillance Capitalism: The Fight for a Human Future at the New Frontier of Power*. PublicAffairs.