

**Apartheid and Racism in South Africa: The Study of Marginalization and Oppression in
Damon Galgut's The Promise**

Fatima Sadiq

M. Phil Scholar (English), The University of Lahore, Sargodha Campus

fatimasadiq812@gmail.com

Wajid Riaz

Assistant Professor (English), The University of Lahore, Sargodha Campus

wijisami@gmail.com

Dr. Hafiz Mansoor Ahmad

3Assistant Professor (Persian), University of Sargodha

mansoor.ahmad@uos.edu.pk

Syeda Yasira Batool

M. Phil Scholar (English), The University of Lahore, Sargodha Campus

Abstract

The aim of this research is to raise the two basic themes of novel, apartheid and the racism in South Africa. Damon Galgut's new book "The Promise" has been published in May 2021. In this novel, Damon Galgut reflects the apartheid theory and the racism in South Africa which has been seen as marginalization and oppression tools against the black people. This idea is based on Malan's (1948) work on racial segregation in South Africa, where the white government forbade any interaction between the country's non-white population and enforced racial segregation in housing and public spaces. South Africa is a country emerged from the apartheid in 1994 with great hopes for the transformation of the country from crisis ridden. Galgut uses his position at the intersection of gender and race to tell a story that blames the Afrikaner for South Africa's sluggish and complicated economic and political transformations. The text has been subjected to D.F.Malan's concept of apartheid, showing the oppression and marginalization of black people in the context of black apartheid and racism.

Keywords: Apartheid, Racism, Segregation, transformation, South Africa, crisis.

Introduction and Background

According to Britannica, the German term apartheid literally translates to apartness in Afrikaans. It was a regulation that governed the interactions that took place between whites and people of other races. There was a strong connection between apartheid and racial segregation, as well as political and economic discrimination against black people. On the other hand, the impacts of the apartheid laws continued to be felt far into the twenty-first century, even though they were repealed in the late 1990s. By virtue of the rules that were in place during the apartheid era, black South Africans were compelled to live in separate places and in homes that did not meet the required standards. Furthermore, they were forced to work in positions that were not suitable for them, such as working as maids, in meat markets, and in gold mines. During the time of apartheid, during the transitional period, and during the post-apartheid era, black South Africans went through a period of bad treatment. Nelson Mandela was a civil rights

leader who made history by becoming the first black president of South Africa and he served the politics and apartheid Between 1994 and 1999.

As a result of his disgust for the tragic circumstance that existed in his country, which was the apartheid, Nelson Mandela exerted a great deal of effort in order to usher in a new era in South Africa, one that was peaceful. The efforts that he has made to create a "new" South Africa have earned him a great deal of respect. As a result of his conviction that politics ought to be moral, he endeavoured to achieve peace and understanding. In the piece titled "Nelson Mandela: Decolonisation, Apartheid, and the Politics of Moral Force, Bogues (2014) makes the assertion that in the politics of moral force, the political personality is important... It was his life's mission to bring an end to the system, and he had served time in prison for his political activities. Although Bogues (2014) acknowledges this fact, he contends that since Nelson Mandela endured agony, he possessed the moral authority to transform that suffering into a political force that brought about transformation (Bogues, 2014: 35). Despite the fact that it is concurred with the notion that Nelson Mandela had the moral authority to transform his pain into a political force of change due to the fact that he endured it. It is also concurred with the notion that this is also true for authors like Zakes Mda. To add apology to injury, he is a writer of African descent from South Africa who possesses the moral power to transform pain into political change. Despite the fact that he did not spend his time in prison as Nelson Mandela did, he was nevertheless witness to and experienced the brutal reality of apartheid. In order to bring about political change, he makes use of his literary platform. Taking this into consideration, how robust is Bogues' (2014) theory of suffering when it comes to addressing South African authors like Damon Galgut and Nadine Gordimer? The fact that both of these authors are white does not prevent them from recognising, comprehending, and reacting to the adverse impacts of the apartheid government. The agreement cannot be shown with the notion that one may obtain the moral power to speak out against what is good just by experiencing sorrow. Every person, regardless of their skin tone, is capable of recognising when something is wrong and using their voice to speak out against it. Because of her focus on political and racial concerns, Nadine Gordimer (2015) has a significant position in the field of postcolonial studies. She was a white author who lived in South Africa during and after the apartheid government.

According to Kızıldağ (2015), one of the most attractive features of literature is that it serves as a reflection of the different eras. Literature is a way of looking back through history and tracking significant events and transformative moments that pose challenges to society. When it comes to such topics, writers are responding to them regardless of whether or not they agree with what is happening. They do research and investigation into those matters, and they make their viewpoints available for everyone to see. When it comes to South African literature, it would be suggested that it is much more vital to respond to concerns when we are pointing out problems such as racism, segregation, and apartheid. It is the contention of Ashraf Jamal (2021) that this piece of literature "captures the span of black resistance (64). The Africa Pulse is a compilation of many forms of South African literature, including poetry, drama, and fiction (Jamal), and he was talking about the publication's literary section. To counter this, it would be

confirmed that his argument is applicable to a wide variety of works that pertain to South African literature.

In the 1920s, the Immorality Act was brought into existence for the first time. This act is closely linked to the apartheid government. The Immorality Act was enacted with the intention of criminalizing sexual interactions between those designated as European and those classified as locals," as stated by Caroline (2017: 113). Between the years 1950 and 1988, the Immorality Act was subject to a number of amendments as a result of the horrifying components of the apartheid system (Carolin, 2017). Apartheid and racism with reference to the African society is the central part of the research, showing how they are oppressed and marginalized. For the current research Damon Galgut's *The Promise* (2021) has been selected to show the apartheid and racism, causing oppression and marginalization of the black people.

Damon Galgut's staggering new original diagrams the decay of a white family during South Africa's progress out of politically-sanctioned racial segregation. It starts in 1986, with the demise of Rachel, a 40-year-old Jewish mother of three on a smallholding outside Pretoria. The show of the original turns on a commitment that her Afrikaner spouse, Manie, made to her before she passed on, heard by their most youthful girl, Love: that Manie would give their dark house cleaner, Salome, the deeds to the annexed she possesses. Now that Rachel is dead, Manie has clearly neglected and doesn't want to be reminded. Nor does his biased family, who respect Love's obstinate demand that Salome ought to possess her home as the sort of talk that "presently seems to have tainted the entire country".

Damon Galgut's clever *The Promise* is set in South Africa. The story starts in 1986, and follows approximately 30 years in the existences of the Swart family. The novel is composed from a third individual free roundabout perspective and utilizes the current state. The accompanying rundown sticks to a to a great extent direct method of clarification. In "Mama," Love Swart is 13 years of age when her mom, Rachel, kicks the bucket. However Rachel has been wiped out for quite a while, Love can't handle the news. Frantic for space from her family's dramatizations and strains, Love escapes the family house. She sits on a close by slope to contemplate what has occurred. Unexpectedly she recollects a discussion she heard between her folks half a month earlier. Her dad, Manie, guaranteed Rachel that he would officially give their servant, Salome, the house where she has been residing for quite a long time. Love later tells Manie, her kin Anton and Astrid, and her more distant family individuals about the commitment. At the point when they hear her record, they all jeer. Salome is a person of color, and consequently can't be the legitimate beneficiary of the deed. Love doesn't have the foggiest idea, yet additionally does not fail to remember the promise. In "Dad," almost 10 years after Rachel's demise, Astrid calls Anton to let him know that Manie is in a state of unconsciousness. Manie has had a cozy relationship with the nearby pastor, Alwyn Stews, for a long time. He in this manner confided in Alwyn when he urged him to dive into an enclosure of noxious snakes and trust God to safeguard him. A snake nibbled and harmed Manie. At the point when Anton hears the news, he concludes the time has come to set things right with Manie. He has not addressed him in nine years. Love has likewise been taken out from the family since Rachel's passing. She has saved moving for a long time, moving starting with one spot then onto the next. Getting

back to South Africa, and to the family ranch, yanks her back into the past. So much has changed throughout the long term, yet her recollections feel comparably strong. During family gatherings in regard to Manie's will and the youngsters' legacy, Love gets some information about Salome's home. Anton vows to determine the issue, however he has no genuine plan on parting with the property.

In "Astrid," Astrid is hitched to her life as a youngster darling Dignitary, and the mother of two kids. Her life feels sub-par and distressing. To upset her generally commonplace presence, she engages in extramarital relations with a security man named Jake. She in the end leaves Dignitary for Jake. This relationship additionally demonstrates disappointing. She then begins laying down with Jake's associate. Feeling remorseful, she goes to admission, however the cleric declines her pardon. Coming back subsequently, a more interesting slips into her vehicle, undermines her, and ultimately kills her. Both Anton and Love are stunned by the insight about their sister's passing. The episode especially influences Anton. At the point when Anton and Love are back together, they attempt to help one another, yet the issue of Salome's home partitions them again. In "Anton," years have passed and Anton is hitched to his young life darling, Desirée. Their affection has blurred and Anton has become progressively baffled. He is loaded up with disgrace, lament, and self-hatred. He has not even had the option to finish his novel, which he has been dealing with for a long time. One evening, Anton becomes inebriated and meanders around the property. At last, in an attack of gloom, he shoots himself. Love gets back to the ranch after her sibling's burial service. She and Desirée cooperate to determine the issue of the property. Love gives Salome the house, and feels much better. She dissipates Anton's remains prior to leaving, in this manner burying the hatchet with her past.

Research Statement

Apartheid and racism in the African society context is foremost and central part of the discussion because apartheid and racism have been used against the black people for their oppression and exploitation. The people are distorted, oppressed and marginalized in larger bulk of literature. Damon Galgut's *The Promise* shows the representation of the black people which in the current research is bringing the discussion of apartheid and racism from the perspective of oppression and marginalization.

Research Questions

1. How do the characters in Damon Galgut's *The Promise* represent different aspects of the racism and apartheid?
2. How do the characters in Damon Galgut's *The Promise* become oppressed and marginalized because of the apartheid and racism?
3. How the black people resist against the white people in Damon Galgut's *The Promise*?

Research Objectives

1. To analyze the characters in Damon Galgut's *The Promise*, representing different aspects of the racism and apartheid.
2. To analyze the characters in Damon Galgut's *The Promise*, becoming oppressed and marginalized because of the apartheid and racism.

3. To show the black people who resist against the white people in Damon Galgut's *The Promise*.

Significance of the Research

The research shows Apartheid and racism in the African society context which is the foremost and central part of the discussion because apartheid and racism have been used against the black people for their oppression and exploitation. The people are distorted, oppressed and marginalized in larger bulk of literature. Damon Galgut's *The Promise* shows the representation of the black people, which in the current research is bringing the discussion of apartheid and racism from the perspective of oppression, and marginalization which will enhance the understanding and interest of the readers to research on the said perspective, using other genres of literature.

Literature Review

Adam, the protagonist of *The Sham*, a book written by Damon Galgut in 2008, decides to try his hand at poetry after losing his job and relocating to a shanty in the Karoo. Adam, like Galgut, published his most famous collection - "sonnets about the normal world, strong and intense and sincere" - while he was a young man. Galgut wrote his debut novel, *A Blameless Season*, when he was seventeen years old. However, from that moment on, Adam has been cognizant of the weight of history and wonders if such verse is sufficient in modern South Africa:

The fall of politically-sanctioned racial segregation vowed to give South African authors permit to compose, as Galgut said in a meeting in 2003, about "things like love ... which would be thought of by most to be somewhat shameless as a subject until politically-sanctioned racial segregation crashed", yet his own books have just become all the more politically connected throughout his vocation. His initial works were here and there reprimanded - like Adam's verse - for denying their ethical obligations. Both *An Immaculate Season* (1982), a novel of childhood remorselessness set in a youthful wrongdoers' jail (Galgut has since denied it), and the novella which shaped the foundation of his assortment *Little Circle of Creatures* (1988) - an obvious homegrown small about a mother really focusing on her evil youngster - were gifted and genuinely keen, however neither appeared to be especially intrigued by the world external themselves.

Politically sanctioned racial segregation in South Africa was one of the moral and racial themes that Gordimer dealt with in his compositions. That method limited works like *July's Kin* and *Burger's Little Girl*. She joined the African Public Congress when it was illegal and played an active role in the movement to end politically-sanctioned racial segregation. She also advised Nelson Mandela on his now-famous 1964 defense speech at the preliminary hearing that ultimately led to his conviction.

South African essayist Nadine Gordimer wrote a book called *July's Kin* in 1981. In this alternate history of South Africa, racial apartheid is ended by a common battle in the not-too-distant future.[1] Gordimer wrote the book anticipating the end of politically-sanctioned racial segregation, which she did not write until after its termination. Both the post-politically-

sanctioned racial segregation regime and the dissemination of the book led to its prohibition in South Africa.

Joseph Conrad's 1899 novella *Heart of Darkness* is set in the African interior and tells the story of mariner Charles Marlow, who is serving as liner skipper for a Belgian enterprise. While exploring themes of strong components and profound quality, the work is commonly seen as an examination of European provincial control in Africa. Even though Conrad doesn't specify which river the most of the action takes place on, the territory of the massive and economically important Congo Waterway was a secret province of Belgium's King Leopold II when he was writing the *Congo Free Express*. Kurtz, an ivory trader active at an exchange station downstream who has "gone local" and is the target of Marlow's enterprise, provides Marlow with a text.

An arrangement of regulations that enforced isolation against non-white citizens of South Africa was politically-sanctioned racial segregation, or "apartheid" in Afrikaans. The all-white government of South Africa that came to power in 1948 through the National Party wasted no time in maintaining the country's preexisting racial segregation policies. South Africans of color, who made up the majority of the population, were required to reside in separate areas from whites and use different governmental offices as a result of officially sanctioned racial segregation. The two groups kept their distance from one another. Despite strong and consistent opposition to racially sanctioned segregation both inside and outside of South Africa, its restrictions remained in effect for over half a century. In 1991, President F.W. de Klerk's government began to roll back most of the regulations that had supported racial segregation through political means.

Analysis of Damon Galgut's *The Promise*

Damon Galgut's Booker Prize-winning book *The Promise* (2021) examines and exposes South Africa's transition from apartheid through the perspective of racism and marginalization who were oppressed and marginalized by white family, later on the family itself revolted by the apartheid. Galgut asks a global audience to comprehend South Africa's recent sociopolitical history and exposes the catastrophic effects of institutional racism. The narrative centers on the white Afrikaans Swart family, commencing on their farm outside Pretoria in 1986, during the apex of the apartheid rule. The inaugural chapter, titled "Ma," starts in the direct aftermath of Rachel Swart's demise due to cancer. She is survived by her husband, Manie, and three children: Anton, Astrid, and Amor. Amid the sorrow, bewilderment, and animosity that permeate this initial chapter, Amor's preoccupation with a recalled dialogue is particularly notable. She cannot forget her father Manie's vow to fulfill Rachel's dying request to grant Salome, the family's black maid, ownership of the "crooked small house" on the Swart farm where she has resided for decades. After struggling to comprehend her father's rejection and anger at her repetition of his words, Amor ultimately discovers from her brother that "even if Pa desired [her] to, Salome cannot possess the house since it is prohibited by law (Galgut, 2021: 2). Through this familial discord, Galgut (2012) explicitly presents South Africa's intricate racial history, illustrating the white patriarch's disregard for his black maid and referencing apartheid-era property legislation,

before elaborating on the violence, turmoil, and widespread censorship that defined 1980s South Africa during its State of Emergency.

Galgut's (2021) innovative application of language and structure further immerses the reader in this distinctive geographical and chronological context. The story is infused with regional vernacular and colloquialisms from the beginning, immersing the reader in a setting where a hill is referred to as a "koppie" and a barbecue is termed a "braai." Galgut (2021) risks alienating foreign readers; nonetheless, the occasional ambiguity is ultimately secondary to the rich and distinctive cultural ambiance that such language fosters.

Galgut (2021) successfully employs modernist tactics by utilizing a free-floating narrator who swiftly transitions between people, briefly, embodying each perspective before shifting to the next individual. Galgut's (2021) narrator sustains a sarcastic detachment from his characters, enabling a thorough representation of South African consciousness and providing the reader with insights into each character's biases, which the narrator is at liberty to ridicule and critique. Galgut (2021) used this tactic to reflect the racial inequalities portrayed in his narrative. The narrator conspicuously refrains from penetrating Salome's thoughts, rendering her "apparently invisible" to the novel's white society; thus, "whatever Salome feels is invisible" to the readers as well. The narrator asserts that the readers have not inquired about Salome, so implicating us in her stagnant character development and associating us with apartheid-era society. This invites the reader to reflect on the novel's events and characters, analyzing the subsequent narrative with critical thoughtfulness.

Over the course of thirty-two years, the remainder of the narrative is propelled by Amor's dedication to fulfill her father's vow. The last three chapters are spaced approximately 10 years apart, chronicling the decline of the Swart family and the persistent denial or postponement of Salome's inheritance. With what may be excessive-Crude, a representation of white transgression in a corrupted Eden, a decade following his wife's demise, Manie Swart is mortally bitten by a snake during a humorous endeavor to establish a record for duration spent in a cage with a cobra, bequeathing his farm to the subsequent generation of Swarts. Ten years later, Astrid, formerly an attractive young lady who ascended to the New South African elite, is murdered in a brutal carjacking. Anton is the subsequent family member to meet an untimely demise: the grand dreams and literary ambitions that characterized his youth manifest thirty years later as the disjointed inception of an unremarkable book and suicide by firearm. This positions Amor as the last surviving Swart, and with the novel's moral impetus supporting her, she appears poised for a favorable conclusion. However, Galgut (2021) declines to meet this expectation, emphasizing the complete nature of the Swarts' decline through a vivid portrayal of Amor's alone. Consequently, upon concluding our examination of the Swarts, it appears that the promise and potential of the forthcoming generation of South Africans is finally unfulfilled.

Amidst the Swart family's deterioration, Galgut (2021) elucidates South Africa's troubling recent past. A new South Africa unfolds through the Swart family graves, guiding the reader from the State of Emergency to the collapse of apartheid, the ascension of Nelson Mandela, the inauguration of Thabo Mbeki, and culminating in the 2018 resignation of Jacob Zuma. This approach examines several complexities, including conscription, the AIDS pandemic,

South Africa's reintegration into international sports, and nationwide rolling blackouts. By situating the Swarts family narrative within this context, Galgut (2021) acquaints the reader with a multifaceted and tumultuous racial past, finally drawing a parallel between the unmet aspirations of the young Swarts and those of the New South Africa, once oppressed and marginalized. The connection between an unmet promise and the nation's condition is emphasized toward the conclusion of the novel. Amor, as the farm's inheritor, resolves to fulfill her mother's final desire by transferring the property deeds to Salome. This conclusion, however, is quite unhappy. Lukas, the son of old Salome, expresses that this is "thirty years too late" and is essentially "worthless" due to the new legal system's ruling that establishes a historical claim to the land, perhaps resulting in its confiscation from Salome. The Swart family attorney asserts that in this story and its setting, "promises hold no value."

Upon departing from Salome for the last time, Amor ascends the roof of the Swart residence to disperse her brother's ashes, wishing for them to be "washed away by the next rain," before gazing down and reflecting on the barren ground beneath her. Galgut (2021) concludes his story with this imagery, implying that the Swarts and the South Africa they embody must perish and be eradicated, so creating space for new narratives to emerge over what has preceded. *The Promise* concludes nostalgically, with Galgut (2021) embracing the demise of the old South Africa and anticipating the potential that may arise from its remnants. This work is crucial for comprehending South Africa's history of institutional racism and its devastating consequences based on the marginalization and oppression of the black families which later on turning against the white. The allegorical Swart family illustrates the inadequacies of promises in effecting genuine change in the pursuit of human rights and social justice. A darkly humorous, imaginative, meticulous, and exquisite work, it well merits its praises for both its outstanding literary attributes and its comprehensive and distinctive portrayal of the notorious human rights abuses perpetrated in a difficult nation.

On a homestead close to Pretoria in 1986, the Swarts were a run of the mill white family with three kids. The title of the book suggests *The Promise* made by Manie to his Jewish spouse Rachel (heard by their girl Love) that the Dark family servant Salome will get to acquire the minimalistic home she at present resides in. After Rachel's demise, Love helps her dad to remember this commitment, however he doesn't recollect it and drives her away. Throughout forty years, the Swart kin, Anton (oldest), Astrid (center), and Love (most youthful), should manage the repercussions of Politically-sanctioned racial segregation in their own exceptional ways. Damon Galgut recounted the tale of a family destroyed by a messed up vow to Salome, the house cleaner.

The entire story is partitioned into four sections. The four parts are approximately 10 years separated, and each is named after a departed figure. The question of Salome's legacy is overlooked, passed on, or put off in each segment. In the interim, characters meet an awful passing, frequently savagely. Each part includes one person passing on and those left behind battling with the conditions and contemplating the departed's characters and jobs. Everybody in this useless family is longing for reclamation, yet they go about it in totally different ways. While the characters endure, the world of politics around them is evolving quickly, and it is

challenging to say that they are moderate generally, they stay uninterested, with Love deliberately looking for a conflict with individual distress.

The South African writer Damon Galgut was granted the lofty Booker Prize for his creation of the plot, which was skillfully envisaged, and the reason behind this success was to present the facts in *The Promise*. The story shows the bigoted history of his local country through the narrative of a pained Afrikaner family and its messed up vow to a Dark homegrown representative. In naming Galgut the victor, the Booker passes judgment on considered the promise a 'fantastic exhibition of how the novel can make us see and think once more'. Organized around four memorial services traversing a 40-year time span — including the time of politically-sanctioned racial segregation, the appointment of Nelson Mandela, and long periods of post-isolation debasement — The promise follows The Swarts, a favored white family who live on a homestead close to Pretoria. The Swarts consent to give their Dark house keeper, Salome, responsibility for home on their territory to compensate her for gave administration are the central aspects of the story. Therefore, the promise takes the family forty years to respect.

Individuals from the family who neglect to keep the commitment kick the bucket, leaving alive the main person arranged to reimburse Salome. Talking with time following his success, Galgut (2021) says he needed to move toward the story from an capricious point. Not laying out who your focal characters are, or what the focal circumstance is right toward the start, however allowing that show gradually, to like a photo creating. He further says the kind of unexpected, second importance of *The Promise* is inferred. It is the commitment and promise of South Africa in 1994, something, we as a whole felt and connected a great deal of desire to. There was a genuine chance to change the nation, yet it was wasted. Furthermore, where we are currently, financially, ethically, isn't where the vast majority of us trusted we would be.

In spite of the widespread debasement and a feeling of fatigue, Galgut (2021) sees promises of something better. You cannot change what is going on that politically- sanctioned racial segregation granted to us short-term. However, it was continuously gladdening to me that individuals realized this would have been a cycle, in addition to a flip of the switch. The white South African mind is not exceptionally keen about Dark residents that are extremely near hand. At the end of the day, so close that occasionally these are people who've worked for your family for a really long time, he says. A great deal of white South Africans simply don't want to ask, or aren't sufficiently intrigued to inquire (2021: 21). Dad Manie is the story's principal arbiter of parental narrative power, and what he sees himself as fitting within (Galgut, 2021: 16). But Manie also identifies with sexual virility and house dominance, two equally cliched aspects of masculinity. Sister says Manie has been a little goat since he began shaving (Galgut 2021: 17), but Manie thinks back on his life and realizes he was always free-spirited, sexually active, and everyone wanted to be with him (Galgut 2021, 33).

The narrator alludes to Manie's traditional preoccupation with patrilineal reproduction. He is clearly a deteriorating patriarch, though, following the trend of several post-apartheid narratives (Andrews 2021). According to Andrews (2021: 23), the male chauvinism based and fantasy based power is maintained by his men and the patriarchal structures and institutions he was the part of. The power is shown through traditional masculine symbols of domination.

Nevertheless, Manie's authority is quickly eroding in Galgut's novel. His wife questions him and returns to her youth's Judaism (Galgut 2021, 63); his children refuse to follow him (Galgut 2021, 54-55)—even though he insists that they should all be here—and he watches as the racist and powerful white man who declines as the nation transitions to democracy (Galgut 2021, 55–55). His urgent endeavours to establish a sense of masculinity in evolving circumstances are apparent in the construction of their farmhouse: Through Amor's perspective, the narrator notes that upon inheriting the farm from his father, Manie began to add rooms and outbuildings devoid of any discernible style, despite referring to them as vernacular. His ideas lacked rationale; nonetheless, Ma asserted that he aimed to conceal the original art deco, which he deemed too feminine. A chaotic establishment including twenty-four exterior doors that must be secured at night, with one architectural style superimposed upon another. The self's stylisation, shown as a disordered patchwork to eliminate any semblance of effeminacy, echoes the dominant male archetypes of early colonialists attempting to impose order on a chaotic terrain. With the exception of Manie, it constitutes a failure. The narrator mocks his home which serves as a potent critique of the disintegration of a once-coherent the black apartheid as the artificiality of the black became increasingly evident. The inept renovations of the home represent a futile endeavour by the character to reclaim the purportedly “true” attributes of a certain identity, ultimately exposing the tenuous and artificial nature of that male identity. The patriarch's ultimate downfall in the tale is ludicrously preposterous. He has a tremendously profitable reptile farm and entertainment establishment.

Manie gets fatally bitten by a snake after intentionally confining himself in glass with a venomous serpent to illustrate the strength of his Christian faith. It is characterised as “[a] cracked, irrational idea, entirely, an avaricious, foolish maneuver” (Galgut 2021, 100). His son, Anton, recounts the events surrounding his father's demise in a letter to a lover, stating: In a misguided attempt to provoke the Holy Ghost to a game of Russian roulette, coupled with a reckless ambition to set a Guinness world record for cohabiting with venomous snakes, my foolish father has succumbed to a coma. I apprehensively anticipate the worse. The portrayal of the patriarch's death as humorous and ludicrous illustrates a textual disintegration of his authority and, on a metaphorical plane, signifies the deterioration of white fatherhood. Anthony Clare (2000, 166) contends that media portrayals of fatherhood predominantly create dichotomies, categorising dads as either heroes or villains. In *The Promise*, Manie contests this binary, yet fails to provide any substantial alternative. He is portrayed as neither a hero nor a villain; rather, he is characterised as a ridiculous embodiment of an antiquated self-importance, and his ludicrous demise renders him (and the patriarchal ideals he symbolically represents) devoid of both power and significance. This stands out and reminds me a lot of Jak's death in *Agaat* (2006) by Marlene van Niekerk, where the father also dies in a big show of male pride. Pretorius (2014) says that this view might not take into account how complicated the character is on the inside. I'm saying that Galgut's Manie doesn't have much interiority. In this case, his funny death is just an empty sign that doesn't go beyond what metaphor means, which is “an prior public system of meaning” that “precludes interventions, revisions, or subversions” (Titlestad 2009, 117). Manie's funeral happens at the same time as the Rugby World Cup final in

June 1995, which is interesting. The difference between these events makes it even clearer how badly the male characters are trying to rethink what it means to be a man during this time of change. So, everyone is looking at the winning flyhalf. The book places this happiness in a time of nation-building that hasn't been looked into much, like how the Rugby World Cup has been shown in history.

It was with great satisfaction that the Springboks celebrated their 1995 Rugby World Cup victory. Rugby, white nationalism, and masculinity are all intricately intertwined, since the match takes place during the burial of the patriarch of the book. At the same time that white masculinity seeks a superficial atonement, the nation's first black president and the iconic figure of Afrikaner rugby—a representation of an idealized white masculinity—congregate for the ceremonial commemoration of the patriarch's death in the famed reconciliatory scene.

The story's father, dominee, and cabinet minister are archetypes of the patriarchal power structures that existed during apartheid and their collapse upon its end; in contrast, the story's most developed male character is Anton, the eldest of the three children. We first meet Anton while he is enlisted in the army, as is required of all citizens. He is really distraught since he recently killed a woman while on assignment. After his mother passes away, he decides to leave the army position and live alone in the Transkei. The way Anton is portrayed by David Attwell (2021) as a "conscientious objector" misses the mark in showing that his decision to move to the Transkei is not motivated by a moral rejection of apartheid and the military, as is often implied in literary representations of the *verligte* (progressive) protagonist who recognizes the evil of apartheid. Since leaving the camp that morning, Anton has been fixated with a painting of a snowy cliff face rising from a verdant forest, according to the narrator's description of him (Galgut 2021, 77–78). Still, he indulges himself later on, believing things like "by deserting the army, he is a hero, not a criminal; it is surprising how rapidly that perspective transformed" (Galgut 2021, 83). Anton appears to resist the patriarchal apartheid institutions throughout the novel, including his father's expectations, Christianity, and the political cultures of the police and military. Anton is presented as helpless and ineffectual, rather than as a symbol of post-apartheid white masculinity. This portrayal is crucial. In a later scene where he tells his sister, "Label me a failure in every other sense, I will not argue," he admits this within the novel. "But I shall, if nothing else, leave a book behind" (Galgut 2021, 176). Anton proves his incapacity to achieve this goal when Galgut's work concludes without his novel having come to maturity. Because of this, he begins to realize that he "[n]ot going to achieve much of anything" (Galgut 2021, 205). Afterwards, while drunk and alone with his thoughts, he looks in the mirror and says, "Good God, who changed my features?" Who put this rusted metal mask on me and took away the innocent, carefree child I once was? (Galgut 2021, 198). According to Andrews (2021, vii), in modern South African literature, dads are portrayed as ghosts, dying, and morally flawed, while children play a pivotal part in these stories, freeing themselves from their fathers' stifling control. There is no post-apartheid ethical revolution or principled reimagining of white masculinity that underpins Anton's rejection of his father's principles and his desire for home control, in contrast to the works that Andrews analyzes. Anton, on the other hand, lives what Galgut calls a "wasted [...] life" (2021). Apartheid definitely ensured Anton's right to material comfort and

success, but he has wasted the extraordinary financial resources and opportunities that were available to him. Anton is ultimately brought down by his own acts in the novel. After being duped by his wife's new lover and another night of drinking alone, Anton finds himself lost on the veld. The narrator's thoughts just before he takes his own life are revealed through narrative focalization. He cannot stand being a mere background figure in the drama and the prospect of going back to his life, which he views as a worn-out garment, is too much to bear. What comes next? Using it again in that way, smelled like his own essence wafting out? The aroma is immediately noticeable to him. Cancel the house purchase and cancel the shirt order. Cut off the power lines. Stop whatever you're doing. After that, Anton shoots himself in the head.

At an early point in the story, Anton acknowledges the unique role that guns play in depictions of masculinity. Despite dismissing his grandfather's shotgun as a family heirloom, apparently, he uses it to end his life, demonstrating a clear rejection of patrilineal and intergenerational gender reproduction norms. "Gun culture is not a permanent, ahistorical, essentialist object, but a combination of very varied elements which are exploited selectively, acknowledges Jacklyn Cock (2001, 40). On the other hand, she takes a more global view when she says that this gun culture is based on the legitimization, normalization, and even glorification of violence, war, weapons, and military forces in many forms of popular culture, such as movies, books, music, dance, toys, games, and sports. The assumption that masculinity and violence have been yoked together in South African history is shared by Robert Morrell (2001, 12) and Cock (2001, 49), but Galgut's (2021) research shows that idealized white masculinity no longer uses violence as a defining trait. A misplaced and invalidated white masculinity is shown in the book. Reminiscences of the idyllic farm ideal and the nostalgic Afrikaner Boer dream have been revived in post-apartheid South Africa, which has led to the idea of white victimization, claims Hannelie Marx Knoetze (2020, 48).

Anton is to blame for his own downfall. However, it does not appear to be a suicide with any prior planning. It seems to have happened by chance, stemming from an impulsive dissatisfaction with life brought on by alcohol. A particular male Afrikaner identity, previously defined by martial precision and unity, now appears disorganized and drunk, as shown in the portrayal of the suicide. Although Graeme Reid and Liz Walker argue that apartheid's end has "confronted and unseated existing gender hierarchies [and] opened the possibility for formulation and expression of new masculinities (2005, 1), Anton provides a less hopeful rebuttal to this claim. In Galgut's story, the last man standing is a modern spiritualist named Moti, who betrays Anton and then gives a eulogy at his funeral; he is jestingly called "Mowgli the man-cub" (Galgut 2021, 191). Moti was formerly characterized as "a charming native of Rustenberg [...] who went to India for a year to meditate, seek wisdom, and realize himself" (Galgut 2021, 159). Educated, compassionate, and egalitarian—Moti first gives the impression of optimism, which is a metaphor for key aspects of the "new man" story (Koenig-Visagie and Van Eeden 2013, 5). As the narrative draws to a close, the tension between Anton and Moti's wife grows: "You'd best leave, sweetheart," she whispers to him after an intoxicated encounter. Before taking any more steps. He acts concerned. Would you be alright? Could you be sure that nothing will happen? Why? Because I—for what reason? Hey, what's up? Are you going to

protect her? Amusing! After another fall, he (Anton) tries to stand up again. A last sermon is delivered before "Mowgli exits, but not before the confrontation develops." ((Galgut 2021, 204) He has abandoned his belief that matter embodies spirit. When matter exerts force, though, it becomes really substantial. Gallgut states that violence does not contain any spirit (2021/2021). As a tired cliché, this verbosity goes hand-in-hand with Moti's purported trip to India to "find himself" and forge an enlightened sense of self-identity based on possessing "a very ancient spirit" (Galgut 2021, 204). As a symbol of the father, the apartheid security forces, and Christian nationalist religious leaders, Moti represents the text's critique of liberal, progressive white masculinity that aspires to overcome the limitations imposed by apartheid patriarchy. After apartheid, Moti becomes a symbol of the profound metaphoric vacuity of white masculinities rather than a hopeful alternative. He never grows beyond being a caricature.

Conclusion

The bunch of races in Galgut's local South Africa appears never to be unloosed. This story could be perused as a representation for the nation; as could the situation of Salome; as could Love's swollen assurance; yet there is trust in that she could be the final straggler remaining with a future to fabricate, though an obscure one and clearly difficult and this is the promise. Throughout the next many years South Africa sheds its detested Politically-sanctioned racial segregation framework, Nelson Mandela becomes president, dark rule bombs nearly everyone's assumptions and expectation for a more splendid and more prosperous future; much the same as the direction of the breaking down Swart family; like the sluggish decay of Salome and her home. Love heads out in a different direction yet the promise is everlastingly at the forefront of her thoughts and at whatever point she returns (Return to South Africa feels more like a condition than a demonstration), just for family memorial services, her assurance to have the commitment satisfied is ruined.

Notwithstanding a disappointing, ineffectively soothing completion, Galgut's novel impacted me in the days after I completed it. Maybe it was deliberate, a fitting association with South Africa's continuous battle as a country. Seldom are our own endings totally soothing — we convey the injuries of the past even after their goal. Pondering the defective characters I know and the lost capability of my own nation, glints of Galgut's composing show up in the shadows of my own life. The genuine strength of this book is in its writing, exchange and easily clear portrayal. In any case, I've sufficiently said — search out the promise and find Galgut's style for yourself. This is the promise which is throughout in the seen next many years South Africa sheds its detested Politically-sanctioned racial segregation framework, Nelson Mandela becomes president, dark rule bombs nearly everyone's assumptions and expectation for a more splendid and more prosperous future; similar to the direction of the breaking down Swart family; like the sluggish decay of Salome and her house. The telling, yet oblivious, contemplations of the whites ... such countless individuals of color floating about as though they have a place here) pepper the text and each time concrete the idea that change will continuously stay tricky.

The novel's depiction of racism and apartheid does not show them as victims or social outcasts; in fact, it shows that white patriarchy is on the decrease which oppresses and marginalizes the black people. The continued economic domination of white males, which

began under apartheid, is clearly seen in all spheres of economic power, resulted the oppression and marginalization of the black people. In contrast to the claims made by some conservative white men (Knoetze 2020), Galgut's (2021) work appears to propose a substantial and inevitable deterioration of white masculinities in South African public discourse, characterized by ineffective racism. White masculinities are pervasive but ultimately subdued in Galgut's novel, which critiques hegemonic masculinity archetypes within the apartheid context, envisaged oppression and marginalization of the black people in the context of racism. The novel depicts a domineer who is greedy and incestuous, a paternal figure who is ridiculously killed by a snake bite, a chief patriarch of the apartheid police's state security who has dementia, and a male successor named Anton who tragically takes his own life after abusing the undeserved advantages that come with his gender and race. For white men in post- and transitional South Africa, the decline of white Afrikaner masculinities is often considered as a threat to their standing. But it's admirable that Galgut's writings don't buy into the fear narratives that suggest empathy as a good response to the fall of white men's genetic domination which is the greatest example of racism in the novel. Having said that, what it offers its audience is a straightforward and uncontroversial condemnation of the toxic masculinities linked to apartheid.

Similarly, the literature written during the late apartheid era, white male protagonists function more as stereotypes than fully realized people. People attribute the haughtiness of white men, to varying degrees, to the patriarchal systems of apartheid, which Galgut's account shows as a purposeful rejection. There is some room for optimism in Amor's act of expiation at the novel's end. However, the gendered viewpoint that it is proposed in this research for further research leads to a rather bleak depiction of the present and future, which may be compared to J.M. Coetzee's *Disgrace* (1999). In the post-apartheid period, there appears to be no ethically complicated way to represent white supremacy but to give a prestigious position to apartheid, according to Galgut. White Afrikaner masculinity is portrayed in the text as a denialist one who are the oppressor but later on became the targeted revolt community. The novel's attempt to decenter white race is met with a gloomy outlook; the narrative portrays these aspects of racism as shallow, which results in a strange lack of power they wield in the modern world due to literary erasure.

References List

- Achebe, C. (1989). "An Image of Africa: Racism in Conrad's *Heart of Darkness*," in *Hopes and Impediments: Selected Essays*, Doubleday, pp.1-20
- Andrews, G. (2021). *Stories of Fathers, Stories of the Nation: Fatherhood and Paternal Power in South African Literature*. Pietermaritzburg: University of Kwa-Zulu Natal Press.
- Attwell, D. (2021). "The Promise by Damon Galgut: A Book Review." Litnet, August 2, 2021. Accessed from. <https://www.litnet.co.za/the-promise-by-damon-galgut-a-bookreview/>.
- Barris, K. (2005). "Realism, Absence and the Man Booker Shortlist: Damon Galgut's *The Good Doctor*." *Current Writing: Text and Reception in Southern Africa* 17 (2): 24-41. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1013929X.2005.9678218>.

- Beyer, C. (2015). "The Man I Could Have Been': Masculinity and Uncanny Doubles in Selected Novels of Damon Galgut." MA diss., Stellenbosch University. <http://hdl.handle.net/10019.1/97100>.
- Bogues, A. (2014). "Nelson Mandela: Decolonization, Apartheid, and the Politics of Moral Force." *Boundary 2: An International Journal of Literature and Culture*, vol. 41, no. 2, 2014, pp. 34–36. EBSCOhost, <https://doi.org.libproxy.lamar.edu/10.1215/01903659-2686043>.
- Booker Prize Foundation. (2021). "The Promise Wins 2021 Booker Prize for Fiction." Press Release, November 3, 2021. Accessed from <https://thebookerprizes.com/sites/default/files/202111/The%202021%20Booker%20Prize%20Winner%20Announcement%20-%20for%20immediate%20release%20-20FINAL.pdf>.
- Brink, A. (1979). *A Dry White Season*. Johannesburg: Taurus.
- Carolin, A. (2017). Apartheid's Immorality Act and The Fiction of Heteronormative Whiteness. *Tydskrif vir Letterkunde*, Vol. 54 Issue 1, pp. 111–128, 2017. Immorality Act, Apartheid.
- Carolin, A. (2021). *Post-Apartheid Same-Sex Sexualities: Restless Identities in Literary and Visual Culture*. London: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003030577>.
- Clare, A. (2000). *On Men: Masculinity in Crisis*. London: Chatto & Windus.
- Cock, J. (2001). "Gun Violence and Masculinity in Contemporary South Africa." In *Changing Men in Southern Africa*, edited by R. Morrell, 43–55. Pietermaritzburg: University of Natal Press.
- Coetzee, J. M. (1990). *Age of Iron*. London: Secker & Warburg.
- Coetzee, J. M. (1999). *Disgrace*. London: Secker & Warburg.
- Conway, D. (2021). "Booker Prize: Damon Galgut's *The Promise* Is a Reminder of South Africa's Continued and Difficult Journey to a Better Future." *The Conversation*, November 6, 2021. Accessed from <https://theconversation.com/booker-prize-damongalguts-the-promise-is-a-reminder-of-south-africas-continued-and-difficult-journey-to-a-better-future-171255>.
- Cooper, R. (2021). "A Family, and a Nation, under Apartheid, Tears at the Seams." Review of *The Promise*, by Damon Galgut. *The New York Times*, April 15, 2021. Accessed from <https://www.nytimes.com/2021/04/15/books/review/damon-galgut-promise.html>.
- Crous, M. (2006). "Male-Male Relationships in J.M. Coetzee's *Disgrace*." *Literator* 27 (2): 21–38. <https://doi.org/10.4102/lit.v27i2.191>.
- Crous, M. (2010). "White Masculine Desire and Despair in *The Good Doctor* by Damon Galgut." *Literator* 31 (2): 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.4102/lit.v31i2.44>.
- Day, J. (2021). "The Promise by Damon Galgut Review—Legacies of Apartheid." *The Guardian*, June 18, 2021. Accessed from <https://www.theguardian.com/books/2021/jun/18/the-promise-by-damon-galgut-reviewlegacies-of-apartheid>.
- Dlamini, N. (2022). *The Promise* (Damon Galgut), *Tydskrif vir Letterkunde* Vol. 59 Issue 1, pp. 138–139, 2022.

- Dlamini, N. (2022). The Promise (Damon Galgut). *Tydskrif Vir Letterkunde*, 59(1), 138–139. <https://doi.org/10.17159/tl.v59i1.12738>
- Du Pisani, K. (2001). “Puritanism Transformed: Afrikaner Masculinities in the Apartheid and Post-Apartheid Period.” In *Changing Men in Southern Africa*, edited by R. Morrell, 157–75. Pietermaritzburg: University of Natal Press.
- Fox-Martens, E. (2022). Review of *The Promise*, by Damon Galgut. *Harvard Review Online*, March 17, 2022. Accessed from <https://harvardreview.org/book-review/thepromise/>.
- France, M. (2021). “Damon Galgut and South Africa’s Broken Promises.” *Prospect*, December 9, 2021. Accessed from <https://www.prospectmagazine.co.uk/culture/38196/damon-galgut-and-south-africasbroken-promises>.
- Fu, A., & Murray, M. (2017). “Sentimentalizing Racial Reconciliation in the ‘New South Africa’: Cinematic Representation of the 1995 Rugby World Cup.” *Black Camera* 9 (1): 22–46. <https://doi.org/10.2979/blackcamera.9.1.02>.
- Fugard, A., and J. Kani. (1974). *The Island in Statements: Two Workshop Production*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Galgut, D. (1991). *The Beautiful Screaming of Pigs*. New York, NY: Scribner.
- Galgut, D. (2004). *The Good Doctor*. Cape Town: Penguin Random House.
- Galgut, D. (2008). *The Imposter*. Cape Town: Penguin Random House.
- Galgut, D. (2021). *The Promise*. Cape Town: Penguin Random House.
- Giliomee, H. (2003). *The Afrikaners: Biography of a People*. Charlottesville, VA: University of Virginia Press.
- Haarhoff, M. (2020). “Black Everyday Life and the Burden of Death in Zakes Mda’s *Ways of Dying*.” *Safundi: The Journal of South African and American Studies*, vol. 21, no. 2, Apr. 2020, pp. 190–205. EBSCOhost, <https://doi-org.libproxy.lamar.edu/10.1080/17533171.2020.1733742>.
- Jamal, A. (2021). *Peace and Division: The Black Novel in South Africa*, *Current Writing: Text and Reception in Southern Africa*, 33:1, 63–69, DOI: 10.1080/1013929X.2021.1902074
- Kendall, G. (2021). Review of *The Promise*, by Damon Galgut. *Booklist Online*, Accessed from <https://www.booklistonline.com/The-Promise-GalgutDamon/pid=9743831>.
- Kızıltaş, Ş., & Başak, U. (2015). “The Power Struggle between The Colonizer and The Colonized through Fanonism in *July’s People* by Nadine Gordimer.” *Tarih Kültür ve Sanat Araştırmaları Dergisi/Journal of History, Culture & Art Research*, vol. 4, no. 2, June 2015, pp. 169–80. EBSCOhost, <https://doiorg.libproxy.lamar.edu/10.7596/taksad.v4i2.458>.
- Knoetze, H. M. (2020). “Romanticising the ‘Boer’: Narratives of White Victimhood in South African Popular Culture.” In “Reading Post-Apartheid Whiteness,” edited by A. Carolin, M. Dass and B. Grogan, special issue, *Journal of Literary Studies* 34 (4): 48–69. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02564718.2020.1822601>.
- Koenig-Visagie, L., and J. Van Eeden. (2013). “Gendered Representations of Fatherhood in Contemporary South African Church Imagery from Three Afrikaans Corporate Churches.” *Verbum et Ecclesia* 34 (1): 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.4102/ve.v34i1.760>.

- Kostelac, S. (2014). "Damon Galgut and the Critical Reception of South African Literature." PhD diss., University of the Witwatersrand.
- Kostelac, S. (2020). "The (Im)possibility of a New Cosmopolitanism? Damon Galgut's Critique of Residual Cultural Scripts in *The Impostor*." *Current Writing: Text and Reception in Southern Africa* 32 (1): 43–54. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1013929X.2020.1743030>.
- Kostelac, S. (2021). "Damon Galgut's Booker-Winning Novel Probes White South Africa and the Land Issue." *The Conversation*, November 8, 2021. Accessed from <https://theconversation.com/damon-galguts-booker-winning-novel-probes-white-southafrica-and-the-land-issue-171243>.
- Mbao, W. (2013). "Unavowable Communities: Mapping Representational Excess in South African Literary Culture, 2001–2011." PhD diss., Stellenbosch University. <http://hdl.handle.net/10019.1/80124>.
- Morrell, R. (2001). Introduction to *Changing Men in Southern Africa*, edited by R. Morrell, 1–. Pietermaritzburg: University of Natal Press.
- Nadine, G. (2009). July's People Wenzel, Marita. "Zakes Mda's Representation of South African Reality: in *Ways of Dying, The Madonna of Excelsior, and The Whale Caller*". *Word & Image in Colonial and Postcolonial Literatures and Cultures*. Leiden, The Netherlands: Brill, https://doi.org/10.1163/9789042027442_008
- Nelson, M. (2023). Apartheid Britannica, The Editors of Encyclopaedia. "apartheid". *Encyclopedia Britannica*, 27 Apr. 2023, <https://www.britannica.com/topic/apartheid>
- Ngcukaitobi, T. (2018). *The Land Is Ours: South Africa's First Black Lawyers and the Birth of Constitutionalism*. Cape Town: Penguin Random House.
- Paton, A. (1953). *Too Late the Phalarope*. Cape Town: Jonathan Cape.
- Pretorius, A. (2014). "Why Were We Crucified into Car Mechanics?": Masculine Identity in Marlene van Niekerk's *Agaat*." *Tydskrif vir Letterkunde* 51 (1): 29–43. <https://doi.org/10.4314/tvl.v51i1.3>.
- Prinsloo, J. (2006). "Where Have All the Fathers Gone? Media(ted) Representations of Fatherhood." In *Baba: Men and Fatherhood in South Africa*, edited by L. Richter and R. Morrell, 132–46. Cape Town: HSRC Press.
- Reef, A. (2010). "Try These: Tackling Representations of Rugby in Recent South African Novels." *English Academy Review* 27 (2): 71–80. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10131752.2010.514987>.